

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/653,993	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TEUFEL, PAUL	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jeff H. Aftergut	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeff H. Aftergut.

(2) Brian Kacedon.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NONE

**Claims discussed:**

13-24, 26, 38-48, 50, 62-72, 74

**Prior art documents discussed:**


NONE

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the need for applicant to cancel the non-elected invention (the apparatus). Noted that the non-elected species would be rejoined with the generic claims. Indicated that the claims as presented defined over the prior art and the need to cancel the non-elected claims so that the application could be further processed and allowed as claimed..